Designing Learning Workshop to Increase Subjective Well-Being Based Upon Two Contrastive Action Principles with Money: Profit-Maximization, Appreciation-Maximization and Their Balance

Toshiyuki Yasui^{1*}, Takayuki Sueyoshi², Masamichi Asukai², Hiroshi Iwanami², Mami Yamakawa¹, Yoshiki Hataya³, Yuri Sakata³, Hiroyuki Egami⁴, Yoko Honjo⁵, and Takashi Maeno¹

¹Graduate School of System Design and Management, Keio University, Yokohama, Japan, *e-mail: t.yasui@z2.keio.jp, ²SDM Institute, Graduate School of System Design and Management, Keio University, Yokohama, Japan, ³Biotops, Inc., Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Information Services International-Dentsu, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, ⁵Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Inc., Tokyo, Japan

Background: The different action principles to money on markets drastically affect subjective well-being of market participants, rather than their incomes and distributions that income were conventionally main about target of study correlation with money and The authors happiness. developed and validated a model of learning workshop which makes participants think and feel two contrastive action principles on money and economy.

Aim: The authors expanded this learning workshop for participants to provide a cocreative opportunity of group learning to observe optimal balance between the two different views on moneyrelated norms, the profit maximizing principle and appreciations the maximizing principle that may change contrastively behaviors peoples' markets and influence their subjective well-beings. They the expanded validated by verifying model quantitatively the effects of money games on subjective well-being.

Perceptions on Money (Abstract)

Money for Profit-Maximizing

Money for Appreciation-Maximizing

Our focus: Sueyoshi et al.(2018), Yasui et al.(2017), Yasui et al.(2017)

- Sueyoshi et al.(2018): attributions of participants in the game
- Yasui et al. (2017): difference on Tokyo, non-Tokyo cities and religious places
- Yasui et al. (2017): validating the concepts of money for profit-MAX and appreciation-MAX



Workshop No.1 (2017/07/24), No.2 (2017/12/22) And No.3 (2018/01/16) (photos by authors)

Sentiments with Money (Physical)
Income, Wealth,
Poverty, Inequity

Conventional Studies: Ariely (2017), Diener and Biswas-Diener (2012), Kahneman and Deaton (2010), Sandel (2012)

Subjective Well-Being

Conclusions: Workshop No.1 (contrast of profit maximization and appreciation maximization) significantly influenced augment of subjective well-being. Mixed session (workshop No.2 and 3) were less effective for increasing well-being.

Participants who hold two contrastive perceptions on money in separate money game sessions (money for profit maximizing and money for appreciation maximizing respectively) experienced increase of subjective well-being. Not in the mixed session.

Method: A group-leaning workshop is composed by two money-game sessions evenly divided as time.

The first session is for profit maximizing that an administrator instructs participants use their money and behaved for profit maximizing.

session The second comparison. For the workshop let participants to No.1 to only appreciation experience maximizing. An administrator instructs participants use their money and behaved for maximizing their appreciations; For workshop No.2 and No.3, the participants experience simultaneously two principles, namely profit maximizing and appreciation maximizing.

distributed resources participants and rules applied to the games are set equal among three except for these sessions contrastive principles about market behaviors. In either session. participants are divided to 4-8 stores having their own parts of an ornament and money. Each stores trade money to gather 2-4 other different parts, depending on the number of participants, assembling and selling them to an The administrator. authors implemented 3 workshops in Japan from July 2017 to January 2018.

They measured quantitatively participants' changes in subjective well-beings before and after of each session by Meno's four factors of happiness (Maeno and Maeno 2015), the satisfaction with life scale (SWLS; Diener et al.1985) and Japanese version of PANAS (JPANAS; Sato and Yasuda 2001).

Results of Workshop No.1 (upper), Workshop No.2 (middle) and No.3 (bottom)

		Profit Maximizing Session (ProfitMAX) Before/After								Appreciation Maximizing Session (ThanksMAX) Before/After								Entire Workshop (ProfitMAX Session and ThanksMAX Session) Before/After							
		Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-N	Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-N	Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-1
Profit-Max S and Appreciation-Max S (Tokyo, 2017/07/24, n=29)	Ave.1 < Disp.1>	11.3 <2.5>	12.5 <1.4>	10.7 <4.7>	10.5 <4.7>	45.0 <30.5>	25.7 <32.4>	30.7 <3.1>	23.8 <3.1>	10.8 <3.1>	12.0 <2.0>	10.7 <3.8>	10.5 <4.3>	44.0 <27.8>	26.2 <25.3>	34.9 <31.4>	24.2 <44.7>	11.3 <2.5>	12.5 <1.4>	10.7 <5.8>	10.5 <4.7>	45.0 <30.5>	25.7 <32.4>	30.7 <37.2>	23.8 <63.2
	Ave.2 < Disp.2 >	10.8 <3.1>	12.0 <2.0>	10.7 <3.0>	10.5 <4.3>	44.0 <2.8>	26.2 <25.3>	34.9 <31.4>	24.2 <44.7>	11.3 <3.2>	12.4 <1.3>	11.6 <2.4>	11.3 <3.2>	46.6 <25.2>	27.7 <20.6>	34.4 <35.6>	19.4 <55.5>	11.3 <3.2>	12.4 <1.3>	11.6 <2.4>	11.3 <3.2>	46.6 <25.2>	27.7 <20.6>	34.4 <35.6>	19.4 <55.5
	Happier/Unhappier	\downarrow	↓	-	-	\downarrow	↑	1	\downarrow	1	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	\downarrow	\downarrow	-	\downarrow	↑	↑	1	↑	\downarrow	
	Critical P	** 0.02	** 0.02	0.82	1	0.15	0.37	***0.00	0.77	***0.01	*0.09	***0.01	**0.02	***0.00	**0.02	0.72	***0.00	1	0.75	**0.03	**0.04	*0.06	***0.00	***0.00	**0.0
	•	•																							
		Profit Maximizing Session (ProfitMAX) Before/After							Mixed Session (Profit Maximizing and Appreciation Maximizing Session (Mixed/ProftMAX+ThanksMAX)) Before/After								Entire Workshop (ProfitMAX Session and Mixed Session) Before/After								
		Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-N	Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-N	Maeno-HF1	Maeno-HF2	Maeno-HF3	Maeno-HF4	Maeno-ALLFs	Diener-SWLS	JPANAS-P	JPANAS-N
Profit-Max S and Mixed S (Profit-Max + Appreciation-Max) (Kyoto, 2017/12/22, n=12)	Ave.1 < Disp.1>	14.7 <11.0>	23.3 <5.7>	16.2 <10.0>	18.5 <7.7>	72.7 <83.3>	21.6 <23.4>	26.0 <17.3>	22.7 <88.1>	16.1 <14.3>	23.7 <8.2>	16.9 <12.8>	17.8 <13.2>	74.5 <45.2>	20.6 <17.2>	30.2 <84.9>	24.7 <67.3>	14.7 <11.0>	23.3 <5.7>	16.2 <10.0>	18.5 <7.7>	72.7 <83.3>	21.6 <23.4>	26.0 <17.3>	22.7 <88.1°
	Ave.2 < Disp.2>	16.1 <14.3>	23.7 <8.2>	17.0 <12.8>	17.8 <13.2>	74.5 <45.2>	20.6 <17.2>	30.2 <84.9>	24.7 <67.3>	15.0 <19.8>	23.6 <8.3>	15.0 <20.6>	17.4 <11.4>	71.0 <158.8>	20.2 <22.4>	33.9 <25.7>	20.7 <53.9>	15.0 <19.8>	23.6 <8.3>	15.0 <20.6>	17.4 <11.4>	71.0 <158.9>	20.3 <22.4>	33.9 <25.7>	20.7 <53.9°
	Happier/Unhappier	1	1	↑	\downarrow	1	\downarrow	1	↓	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	↓	↑	1	↑	↑	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	↑	1
	Critical P	0.27	0.78	0.61	0.66	0.65	0.5	0.2	0.65	0.54	0.94	0.24	0.78	0.42	0.86	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.82	0.49	0.5	0.74	0.53	***0.01	0.5
Profit-Max S and Mixed S (Profit-Max + Appreciation-Max) (Tokyo, 2018/01/16, n=26)	Ave.1 < Disp.1>	15.9 <10.1>	23.3 <5.1>	16.6 < 9.5>	18.3 <4.9>	74.0 <28.1>	22.8 <16.0>	27.2 <30.5>	25.5 <41.7>	16.7 <12.2>	22.9 <9.9>	16.8 <15.7>	18.4 <4.9>	74.7 <81.2>	23.3 <21.7>	30.5 <16.8>	21.7 <47.5>	15.9 <10.1>	23.3 <5.1>	16.6 < 9.5 >	18.3 <4.9>	74.0 <28.1>	22.8 <16.0>	27.2 <10.6>	25.5 <41.7
	Ave.2 < Disp.2>	16.7 <12.2>	22.9 < 9.9 >	16.8 <15.7>	18.4 <4.9>	74.7 <81.2>	23.3 <21.7>	30.5 <16.8>	21.7 <47.5>	16.8 <12.8>	23.1 <13.3>	17.7 <11.7>	18.5 <11.3>	75.6 <93.3>	23.1 <19.9>	29.9 <35.6>	22.7 <48.0>	16.8 <12.8>	23.1 <13.2>	17.7 <11.7>	18.0 <11.3>	75.6 <93.3>	23.1 <19.9>	29.9 <35.6>	22.7 <48.0
	Happier/Unhappier	1	\downarrow	↑	↑	↑	1	1	1	1	↑	↑	1	1	↓	\downarrow	↑	↑	\downarrow	↑	\downarrow	1	↑	↑	
	Critical P	0 18	0.22	0.77	0.81	0.6	0.46	***0 01	***0.00	0.68	0.5	*O 08	0.67	0.37	0.61	0.53	0.45	0.13	0.71	*0.10	0.69	0.37	0.61	*0.08	*0.09